

GUIDELINES FOR REVIEWERS

- Manuscripts are reviewed by two independent experts in the relevant area who make a scientific assessment and a recommendation to the editors.
- Reviewers remain unknown to authors.
- Reviewers are responsible of providing a detailed, constructive, and unbiased evaluation in a timely manner on the scientific content of the work.
- Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process.
- A peer reviewer assists in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the paper.
- Any manuscripts received for review are expected to be treated as confidential documents.
- Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.
- Reviewers should call to the editors' attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.
- Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors or institutions connected to the papers.

FORM FOR THE REVIEWER