

MLJEKARSTVO

Journal for dairy production and processing improvement

Publisher and Editorial Office:

Hrvatska mljekarska udruga - Croatian Dairy Union

Ilica 31/III, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

e-mail: urednik@hmu.hr

tel.: +385 1 4833 349

Date: _____, 2021

REFEREE'S REPORT

Dear Colleague,

Please find enclosed the manuscript: "_____".

Please give clear reasons whether or not the paper is acceptable for publication by *Mljekarstvo*.

Please return this form by _____, 2021

For Editorial Board:
Zoran Bašić, editor

Referee's recommendation (please mark your answer by putting an „X“ to the field „[]“:

1. Is the paper content within the scope of *Mljekarstvo*?

- YES []
- NO []

2. To Your knowledge, have the authors published any of the contents of this manuscript elsewhere?

- YES []
- NO []

3. Manuscript is suitable for publication as:

- original scientific paper []
- preliminary communication []
- scientific note []
- review []
- professional paper []

4. Does the Title reflect its content?

- YES []
- NO []

5. Is the paper written concisely and clearly?

- YES []
- NO []

6. Is author using standard and understandable professional names?

- YES []
- NO []

7. Does the summary clearly state the objective of the study?

- YES []
- NO []

8. Are the methods correctly used and experimental results clearly tabulated?

- YES []
- NO []

9. Do the results support stated conclusions?

- YES []
- NO []

10. Are results (figures and tables) expressed in the most appropriate form without reference to the text. Are they written according to Author instructions?

- YES []
- NO []

11. Are the references up-to-date?

- YES []
- NO []

12. Are the references cited according to Author instructions?

- YES []
- NO []

13. Are any portion of the paper unnecessary or need to be extended?

- YES []
- NO []

14. Is the English language used in this paper acceptable?

- YES []
- NO []

15. Please rate the overall quality of this paper:

- EXCELENT []
- GOOD []
- POOR []

16. Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript:

- YES []
- NO []

17. Manuscript is suitable for publication:

- as received []
- after being revised []
- not acceptable []

18. Brief referee's judgment _____

19. Assistant Editor opinion _____

Assistant Editor's signature _____

20. Editorial Board conclusion _____

Editor's signature _____

*Authors responses to referees comments. Note that your responses must contain exact changes made in the revised version of your manuscript such as line numbers with corrected text, etc.

NOTE: If necessary please attach additional sheet of paper