## **Duties of Reviewers**

## **Contribution to Editorial Decisions**

Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the paper. The reviewers do not known the autors identity, as any identifying information will be strpped from the document before review. Reviewer s comments to the editors are confidential and before passing on to the author will be made anonymous. The names of thr reviewers remain strictly confidential; with their identities known only the Editor-In-Chief and Assistant Editor-In-Chief.

### **Promptness**

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor Editor-In-Chief or AssistantEditor-In-Chief and excuse himself from the review process.

### Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the Editor-In-Chief or Assistant Editor-In-Chief.

### **Standards of Objectivity**

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

#### **Acknowledgement of Sources**

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the the Editor-In-Chief/Assistant Editor-In-Chief attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

# **Disclosure and Conflict of Interest**

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.