

University of Rijeka
 FACULTY OF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS
 Ivana Flipovića 4, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia

PROCEEDINGS OF RIJEKA
 FACULTY OF ECONOMICS
 JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS

EDITORIAL BOARD

Phone: + 385 (0)51 355 182, Fax: + 385 (0)51 212-268

E-mail: zbornik@efri.hr <https://www.efri.uniri.hr/>

GUIDELINES TO REVIEWERS – REVIEWER EVALUATION FORM

You are kindly requested to fill in the form which helps evaluate the suitability of the paper for publishing in the *Proceedings of Rijeka Faculty of Economics – Journal of Economics and Business (Zbornik radova Ekonomskog Fakulteta u Rijeci – Časopis za ekonomsku teoriju i praksu)*.

On a scale of 1 to 5, where **5** represents an absolute reason to accept the paper and **1** an absolute reason to reject the paper, please rate the article in each of the following areas according to its originality, relevance of research tasks, methodology, validity of results, conclusions and overall quality and suitability of the article.

THE TITLE OF THE ARTICLE:

(consider each of the following aspects of the article and rate by using circle or bold options from 1 to 5):

		tend to reject ←-----→ tend to accept				
1	The article's title reflects the content and purpose of the article	1	2	3	4	5
2	The abstract is concise and relevant (up to 150-200 words)	1	2	3	4	5
3	The key words provide adequate index entry for the article (up to 5 words)	1	2	3	4	5
4	The introduction contains a clearly stated objectives	1	2	3	4	5
5	A brief overview of general knowledge about the problem at the beginning of the investigation gradually introduces the main issue of the article.	1	2	3	4	5
6	A clear-cut methodology of the research is concisely and systematically listed and defined.	1	2	3	4	5
7	An extensive overview of the issue (supported by up-to-date references and ISI citations) provides evidence and counterevidence of the author's own findings and research results	1	2	3	4	5
8	The article is original and presents an important and suitable contribution to economic theory and practice	1	2	3	4	5
9	The research results are valid with respect to the relevance of the methodology applied, conclusions and recommendations	1	2	3	4	5
10	Conclusions illustrate the research results, findings and recommendations showing what is new and giving suggestions for future research	1	2	3	4	5

TEXT EVALUATION AND SUITABILITY (consider each of the following aspects of the article and rate by using circle or bold options from 1 to 5):

11	The article is well-organized and conforms to the format of the Journal	1	2	3	4	5
12	The article's length is appropriate (16-20 A4 size pages)	1	2	3	4	5
13	The article makes an appropriate use of graphs, diagrams and tables	1	2	3	4	5
14	The references used are up-to date and the format of the citations is in Harvard style	1	2	3	4	5
15	The article is written in standard language, free of spelling and grammar mistakes, interesting and relevant for local and international readers	1	2	3	4	5

FINAL RECOMMENDATION (mark one of the chosen option):

- | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted
<input type="checkbox"/> Conditionally accepted
<input type="checkbox"/> Rejected |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

If judged positively, please recommend the appropriate classification of the article:

- Original scientific paper** is a scientific paper that includes new results based on the research. The information given in the article can be verified by: a) Reproducing experiments and obtain the same/similar results or with tolerable experimental mistakes as estimated by the author himself; b) Repeating the author's observations and judge his analyses; c) Checking the author's analyses and deduction on which the author's analyses are based.
- Preliminary communication** is an article that includes at least one or more pieces of scientific information, but does not include the necessary details to check the scientific cognition.
- Conference paper** is an article that deals with the author's presentation at a conference.
- Review article** is an article that analyzes a special scientific problem already dealt with in published scientific works, but his approach is original and new.
- Professional paper** is an article that deals with specific problems in some professional areas.

GENERAL COMMENTS AND REVIEWER'S SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ARTICLE

REVIEWER' S DATA:

First and second name of the reviewer: _____

Signature:

Date: _____